(ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಸ್. ಗೌ)

ಆವಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳೆಲ್ಲ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲೇ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತವೆ. ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I request the Hon'ble Speaker to adjourn the House for at least two weeks to enable the Government to collect information and bring the questions and answers.

Mr. SPEAKER—For such a simple purpose does the Hon'ble Member want the adjournment of the House ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I feel that we are really wasting our time. To-day, only four questions were discussed for one hour. I know, you are capable of disposing of twenty questions in an hour. We know, you were in a helpless position. If the Government are not in a position to supply the answers well in time. I request that this House be adjourned for two weeks.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition seem to know me better than myself. He says that I am in a better positions

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಷಿ (ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗ).— ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ ಇಪತ್ತಿನವರೆಗೂ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ಟಿನಿಂದಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಾದರೂ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೀಗಾದರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳೆಲ್ಲ ಲ್ಯಾಪ್ಸ್ ಆಗಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತವೆ.

Chair's Statement re: No. of questions admitted, answered, etc.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Rule 40 is within the knowledge of every Member. I do not want to waste time by reading it. I would, however, eliminate the import of personal element because we are dealing with Members and not with individuals. I want to inform the House the position of Starred Questions:

Number of Questions admitted and sent to Government	...	1010
Number of questions for which replies are due as on 28th September 1963	...	943
Number of questions for which replies have been received	...	671
Number of questions which have been answered on the Floor of the House during Budget Session and Adjourned meetings	...	664

That is, out of 671 questions, 664 have answered.

Number of questions and answers List prepared and sent to Press	...	Nil
Number of Lists printed and ready	...	Nil
Number of replies on hand	...	7

Number of questions that are pending with Government for over the prescribed period of 15 days ... 272*

*(Out of these 81 Questions pertain to Budget Session, 1963 which are pending for more than 3 months).

I have got further details with regard to these 81 Questions. Therefore, it is clear that there has been delay on the part of the Government with regard to the 272 questions. So far as pendency in the Legislature Secretariat is concerned, I may say that nothing is pending. I never keep any question even for half an hour. I send it back immediately. In order to facilitate the Government we even follow the convention of sending advance copies of the questions received without scrutinising them so that the answers may be kept ready. After that, it is scrutinised, dated and put in a proper shape and order. Then it is submitted for admission. I admit it and again a copy is sent to the Government. I am taking 15 days from the final intimation that the question has been admitted. Now 272 questions are pending.

As for the point raised by the Hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition that the House might be adjourned because we are having only 4 questions, I do not know whether he has considered it carefully. I do not think we are wasting time. So far as spending time is concerned, if there are no questions during the rest of the time, we are not going to waste that time. During that time some other Business is transacted and we have got Business that was left over. So, it is not wasted, but it is utilised to the best advantage. I entirely agree that we must fully utilize the Question Hour and not allow any part of it to lapse. It was said that a number of questions had been delayed for a pretty long time. The incumbent of the office of the Speaker for the time being does not come into the picture at all. There has been no lessening of the number of questions after I took office. Normally more questions are disposed of daily than before.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, there were no days in the previous year when we used to have less than 20 questions. That is the past experience.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Prior to integration or after ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—After integration.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am prepared to accept the statement made by the Member only after I examine it.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I want to ask what has happened to these 272 questions ? Have the Leader of the House and Chief Minister anything to say regarding these arrears ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why not leave it to me ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Because answers are not received in time, nearly 300 questions are with the Government expecting answers. Now only 6 or 7 questions are ready, as you said. If that is the case, how can you arrange the business of the House ? Since the Government is helpless, I suggested that the House might be adjourned.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I was mentioning that I had raised the number of Questions from 10 to 15 a day and I said that it implied two aspects-one is, five more questions are answered every day. So far as questions are concerned, I was even thinking whether I should not increase the number of questions to be sent by members. I have said that five more questions should be sent. Day before yesterday I was thinking whether I should not ask Members to send another set of 5 questions, so that the House will know that I am doing everything to maximise efficiency and everything is being attended to.

So far as the delay in answering questions by Government is concerned, the rule is mandatory and the rule is very strict that within fifteen days the Government should send replies. In case any particular question requires more time for collection of statistics or other information, they must address me or the Legislature Secretariat explaining the reason and asking for extension of time. I am not aware as at present advised, of any such letter having been received. I may mention that Government may kindly examine the position.

With regard to 81 questions, I do want the Government to examine why so much time was wanted and then I will pass on the information.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—More details are needed in those 81 cases.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is one more point which I have not dealt with—as suggested by some Hon'ble Member—excuse me if I am not able to remember his name,—that a committee may be appointed, for what purpose?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—For examining, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will that committee serve any useful purpose? Because I wanted a contented House; A contented House is the one which I would like to take with me for the future. Now that the matter has been raised, let every Hon'ble Member say what he feels in the matter. I will give chance to everybody.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What about the delay? Has the Chief Minister got anything to say in the matter?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾವು ತಮಗೆ ಕೃತಜ್ಞರಾಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯ ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 16-3-1963ನೇ ದಿನ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ 26-9-63ರಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದು ಈರೀತಿ ಇದೆ:—

"I am directed to forward herewith L. A. Starred Question as further amended and admitted by the Speaker."

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಉದಾಹರಣೆ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪನವರು 1962ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಇಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಸಿವಿರ್ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿ ಹನ್ನೆರಡು ವರ್ಷ ಹೇಗೆ ಕಾಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಹಾಗೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥಾದ್ದು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಕಾಲ ಮಿತಿ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ 15 ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಅರ್ಥ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಡೆಯ ಕಾಲ ವ್ಯರ್ಥ ಕಳೆಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದು ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ತರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು. ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಗಹನವಾದ ಸರಕಾರದ ಪಾಲಿಸಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಲೀಸಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷ ಇರುವುದು

ಏತಕ್ಕೆಂದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಅಧಿಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸರಕಾರ ತಪ್ಪಿದೆ ಅಂಥಾದ್ದನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅಂಥಾದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅಸಮಾಧಾನವಾಗಬಹುದು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಯಿಸುವ ಈ ಕೃತ್ರಿಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತುಂಬಾ ವಿಷಾದ ಪಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಪ್ರಜಾತಂತ್ರದ ಕತ್ತನ್ನು ಹಿಸುಕಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before the Hon'ble Member proceeds further, I would like to know: Is he suggesting that there has been any intentional delay by way of insinuation?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಅದರಲ್ಲೂ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಉದ್ದೇಶಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಸರಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the Hon'ble Member suggest that there has been any intentional delay on the part of the Legislature Secretariat?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯೇಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ನೋಡಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member does not know, let him not insinuate. All should make a study, come to me, I will show him the file; if even after that is not satisfied, he can raise it. Otherwise, he has no right to raise it like that.

10-00 A.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಚರ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೆರಿಯೇಟ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಂದು ಅಂಗ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿ ಕೇಳಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not like that remark. It is not correct.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು, ಅವರ ಮರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿರಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದು ನಮ್ಮ ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಚರ್‌ನ ಒಂದು ಅವಗುಣವಾಗಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will the Hon'ble member kindly withdraw that? Does he insinuate that the Legislature Secretariat is becoming a limb of the Government and acting to its dictates? Let him tell me. Is that his insinuation? Does he suggest that the Legislature Secretariat is a limb of the Government?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ಹೀಗೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ. ಈ ತರಹ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಉಪಅಂಗವಾಗಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧೀನ ಅಂಗವಾಗಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಚರ್ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want the Hon'ble member to withdraw it, to suggest that I must not be a limb of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಇನ್‌ಸಿನ್ಯೂಯೇಷ್ಷನ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want that he should withdraw it.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Regarding this very thing, I have raised several times on the floor of the House that the independence of the Legislature Secretariat must be enacted by a separate Act under the provisions of the Constitution. You were pleased to state on the floor of this House that the Chief Minister was prepared to bring in a legislation. In spite of that, no legislation has been brought. Our Secretariat is nothing less at present than apart of the Government and that appears to be a reason ...

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is he repeating the idea that Legislature Secretariat is a part of the Government...

Sri V. S. PATIL.—You yourself had said that 272 questions were overdue from Government and our Secretariat has done nothing. This itself appears to be that our Secretariat is under the impression that they are not independent, but they are a part and parcel of the Government; that is why they are keeping quiet. That must be remedies either by legislation or by convention or by rules. Something must be done to have a separate existence, and confidence in our Secretariat that they cannot tolerate this delay or breach of our laws by Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What I told Sri Gopala Gowda applies equally to Sri Patil. If he also insinuates, I will certainly direct him to withdraw and do that with as much emphasis as I used when I spoke to Sri Gopala Gowda. It is highly improper to insinuate that the Legislature Secretariat is a limb of the Government. If the answers do not come, what is it that the Hon'ble member wants me to do? If it is necessary, I am prepared to amend the rules.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಅದೇ ನಿಮಗೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ, ನಿಮಗೂ ಶಕ್ತಿ ಬರಲಿ ಎಂಬುದು ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But not by insinuating.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಪದ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿದರೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What kind of Kannada did Sri V. S. Patil speak?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಸ್ ಪಾಟೀರ್‌ರವರು ಎಂದು ಅಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದರ ಅರ್ಥ ಏನಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ನೋಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is better this thing is thrashed out. I am desirous of having the rules re-examined and amended to the extent possible, not now. I have myself gone through most of the rules. I think that some of them do require changes. Let us have a Committee for that purpose; but if members say that they want a committee to sit in judgment and to find out whether the Speaker is acting properly or the Secretariat is doing things properly or the Government is sending answers in time, I can never appoint a committee of that type.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ನೋಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ...

Mr. SPEAKER.—For amending the rules.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಅದೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರು, ವಿ. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀರ್‌ರವರು, ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಕೆಲವರು ಸೇರಿದ ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿ ನೇಮಕವಾಗಿ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಅಮೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಈಗ ಆಗಿರುವ ಒಂದು ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಬಹಳ ಗಹನವಾದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I never minimise the importance of anything that comes before the House. Every matter is equally important; but if the Hon'ble Members suggest that a committee should be appointed to go into the conduct of the Legislature Secretariat and to see whether the Government has done its duty properly, I am not prepared to accept it.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know whether there is any remedy if the Government has not complied with rule 40.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Patil has raised a fine point very finely, but he may point out the rule where under I can act.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—My submission is, whenever there is a breach of the rule framed by this House, it amounts to a breach of the privilege.

If the Government have committed this breach, the Chief Secretary or the Chief Minister must be held responsible for a breach of privilege of this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let the member study that position and tell me. To me it looks impossible, because privileges are well defined and conventions are well known. Is Sri Patil aware of any idea either in jurisprudence or elsewhere that if there is any delay either long or short that I can haul up the Chief Minister and treat him for contempt of this House ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The fact is there. Furnishing answers to the questions sent by this Secretariat is delayed. That is a fact established by the figures that you were pleased to supply to this House. But what the Hon'ble Members want is, a strong warning may be given by you to the Government and they must answer as to why this delay has been caused; that warning must be given; it must also be made known to this House. That is why they are remarking that it looks as if the Legislature Secretariat is keeping quiet, whether it has taken action and all that. They feel like that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I dispel any wrong notions of that account. I will refer to the particular question which Sri Gopala Gowda referred to. It is with regard to the Tibetian Refugees. It is not a normal administration of this Government. It is a Central Government scheme and amounts are spent by the Central Government. A question of that type *prima facie* should come before the Lok Sabha. So, should I not gather the facts regarding its admissibility ? I addressed the Government and wanted to get the things. In fact, there are certain questions lying in the border line with regard to certain conventions. This particular border line between the Central Government and the State Government is there. Does he treat it as an ordinary, normal simple and innocuous question ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—They are exceptions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The best thing I am suggesting is to think of a committee to amend the rules. With regard to any particular question, if there is delay, let the Hon'ble Member come and meet me in my Chamber. Why not they grace me with their visit ? They should not say: 'I will come to the House and talk to you'. That is not good.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಷಿ.—270 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳಾದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸರಕಾರದ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಾರದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಇಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member may send me a list of such questions. I will have them examined; otherwise, how can I ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇದ್ದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರುವುದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಶಾಂತಚಿತ್ತದಿಂದ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ (ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ನಾನು ಶಾಂತ ಚಿತ್ತದಿಂದ ಕೇಳಿದೆ. ನಿಷ್ಕಾರಣವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದಂತಹ ಕಟುವಾದ ಟೀಕೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಮತ್ತು ಬೇರೆ ಟೀಕೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೇಳಿ ಬಹಳ ವಿಷಾದವಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದವರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ)

ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಅದು ತಪ್ಪು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಬರಬಾರದು. ನಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಇತರ ಪಕ್ಷಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡ ಬಾರದು ಎನ್ನುವ ಭಿನ್ನಾಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನಿಲ್ಲ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಭಿನ್ನತೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಉದ್ದೇಶವೂ ಅಲ್ಲ, ತಡವಾಗಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಮುಂದೆ ತಡವಾಗ ದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—Sir, I would like to draw the attention of the Chair and the Government to the seven deaths in Srirampuram due to Cholera.

Mr. SPEAKER.— I may mention to the House that I have received a Calling Attention Notice in this regard.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—Sir, I beg to lay Notification No. FD 30 CAX 63, dated 9th September 1963 (Amendments to the Mysore Agricultural Income-tax Rules, 1957); as required under sub-section (3) of section 63 of the Mysore Agricultural Income-Tax Act, 1957.

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to lay Notification No. RDF 2 TTT 63, dated 27th June 1963 (The Mysore Treasure Trove Rules, 1963); as required under sub-section (2) of section 20 of the Mysore Treasure Trove Act, 1962.

Sri K. NAGAPPA ALVA (Minister for Health).—Sir, I beg to lay Notification No. PLM 251 MDA 61, dated 19th August 1963 (The Mysore Medical Registration Rules, 1963); as required under sub-section (2) of section 31 of the Mysore Medical Registration Act, 1961.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE(Minister for Co-operation and Development).—Sir, I beg to lay Notificaton No. DRL 39 RPA 61, dated 3rd September 1963 (The Mysore Taluk Boards Payment of Audit Charges Rules, 1963); as required under section 246 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959.

Fifteenth Report of the Business Advisory Committee

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I present the Fifteenth Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—I move "that the Assembly agrees with the Fifteenth Report of the Business Advisory Committee."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is;

"That the Assembly agrees with the Fifteenth Report of the Business Advisory Commitee."

The motion was adopted.